arXiv:1605.05434v2 [math.PR] 7 Jun 2016

A Note on Uniform Nonintegrability of Random Variables

Ze-Chun Hu and Xue Peng*

College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Abstract

In a recent paper [2], Chandra, Hu and Rosalsky introduced the notion of a sequence of random variables being uniformly nonintegrable, and presented a list of interesting results on this uniform nonintegrability. In this note, we introduce a weaker definition on uniform nonintegrability (W-UNI for short) of random variables, present a necessary and sufficient condition for W-UNI, and give two equivalent characterizations of W-UNI, one of which is a W-UNI analogue of the celebrated de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability. In addition, we give some remarks, one of which gives a negative answer to the open problem raised in [2].

Key words: nonintegrable random variables, uniformly nonintegrable random variables

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60F25, 28A25

1 Introduction

It's well known that the uniform integrability of a sequence of random variables plays an important role in probability theory. As to the uniform integrability criterions, please refer to Chung (1974, P. 96), Chong (1979), Chow and Teicher (1997, P. 94), Hu and Rosalsky (2011), Klenke (2014, p. 138) and Chandra (2015).

In a recent paper [2], the authors introduced the notion of a sequence of random variables being *uniformly nonintegrable* and gave some interesting characterizations of this uniform nonintegrability. Now, we recall that definition in [2]. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Suppose that all random variables under consideration are defined on this probability space. Let X be a random variable and $A \in \mathcal{F}$. We denote $E(XI_A)$ by $E(X : A)$.

For a random variable X, it's said to be *nonintegralbe* (NI) if $E|X| = \infty$. By the Lebesgure monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$X \text{ is NI if and only if } \lim_{a\to\infty} E(|X| : |X| \le a) = \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: zchu@scu.edu.cn (Z.-C. Hu), pengxuemath@scu.edu.cn (X. Peng).

In virture of (1.1), the authors of [2] gave the following definition:

Definition 1.1 *A sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \geq\}$ is said to be uniformly nonintegrable (UNI) if*

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a) = \infty \tag{1.2}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup_{N\geq 1} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq N) = \infty.$$

By the Lebesgure monotone convergence theorem, we also have

$$X \text{ is NI if and only if } \lim_{a\to\infty} E(|X| \wedge a) = \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

In virture of (1.3), we give the following definition.

Definition 1.2 *A sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \geq\}$ is said to be W-uniformly nonintegrable (W-UNI) if*

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) = \infty \tag{1.4}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup_{N\geq 1} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge N) = \infty,$$

where "W" stands for "weak".

In fact, for any random varialbe X and positive constant a, we have $E(|X| \wedge a) \geq E(|X| : |X| \leq a)$. Hence UNI $\Rightarrow$ W-UNI.

Remark 1.1 *If $\{X_n, n \geq\}$ is W-UNI, then X_n is NI for all $n \geq 1$. In fact, let $k \geq 1$. Then for $a > 0$,*

$$E|X_k| \geq E(|X_k| \wedge a) \geq \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) \to \infty \text{ as } a \to \infty.$$

Remark 1.2 *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $|X_n| \geq Y$ a.s., $n \geq 1$, where Y is a NI random variable. By Definition 1.2, we can easily get that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI. But [2, Example 4.1] shows that we cann't conclude that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is UNI.*

In Section 2, we will give two examples to show that UNI is strictly stronger than W-UNI in general, and even the condition (3.1) defined in [2, Theorem 3.1] fails, W-UNI can still holds. In Section 3, we present a necessary and sufficient condition for W-UNI, and give two equivalent characterizations of W-UNI, one of which is a W-UNI analogue of the celebrated de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability. In the final section, we give some remarks, one of which gives a negative answer to the open problem raised in [2, Remark 5.3].

2 Two examples

In this section, we borrow two examples from [2] to illustrate some phenomena. The first example shows that UNI is strictly stronger than W-UNI in general.

Example 2.1 ([2, Example 4.1]) Let Y be a random variable with probability density function

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{y^2} I_{[1,\infty)}(y),\ -\infty < y < \infty$$

and set $X_n = nY, n \geq 1$. The authors of [2] showed that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is not UNI.

Obviously, we have that for any $n \geq 1, X_n \geq Y \geq 0$ a.s., and Y is a NI random variable. By Remark 1.2, we get that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is M-UNI, which can be verified directly as follows. For any $a > 0$, we have by direct calculation that

$$E[|X_n| \wedge a] = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } n \geq a; \\ n(1 + \ln \frac{a}{n}), & \text{if } n < a. \end{cases}$$

For any $a > 1$, define a function

$$f(x) = x\left(1 + \ln \frac{a}{x}\right),\ 1 \leq x < a.$$

Then we have

$$f'(x) = \ln a - \ln x > 0,\ \forall x \in [1, a),$$

and thus $\inf_{1 \leq x < a} f(x) = f(1) = 1 + \ln a$. Hence we have

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E[|X_n| \wedge a] \geq \lim_{a\to\infty} (a \wedge (1 + \ln a)) = \infty,$$

i.e. $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI.

[2, Theorem 3.1](ii) says that if $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is UNI, then the condition (3.1) defined in [2, Theorem 3.1] must hold, i.e.

$$\beta := \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a) > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The following example shows that even the above condition fails, W-UNI can still holds.

Example 2.2 ([2, Example 4.2]) Let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$, and let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables where for each $n \geq 1, X_n$ has probability density function

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{x^{2-\alpha_n}} I_{[1,\infty)}(x),\ -\infty < x < \infty.$$

We suppose that $\sup_{n\geq 1} \alpha_n = 1$ and $\inf_{n\geq 1} \alpha_n = 0$. Then by [2, Example 4.2], we know that

$$\beta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a^{1-\alpha_n}}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a^{1-\sup_{n\geq 1} \alpha_n}}\right) = 0.$$

For $a > 1$ and $n \geq 1$, by [2, Example 4.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[|X_n| \wedge a] &= E[|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a] + aP(|X_n| > a) \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha_n}{\alpha_n}(a^{\alpha_n} - 1) + a\int_a^\infty \frac{1-\alpha_n}{x^{2-\alpha_n}}dx \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha_n}{\alpha_n}(a^{\alpha_n} - 1) + a^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_n}(a^{\alpha_n} - 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $a > 3$, define a function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}\left(a^x - 1\right), & \text{if} \quad 0 < x \leq 1; \\ \ln a, & \text{if} \quad x = 0. \end{cases}$$

By L'Hospital principle, we have

$$\lim_{x\to 0+} g(x) = \lim_{x\to 0+} a^x \ln a = \ln a.$$

So $g(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$.

For any $0 < x < 1$, we have

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{a^x}{x}\left(\ln a - \frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{1}{x}\left[a^x \ln a - \frac{a^x - 1}{x}\right].$$

By the mean value theorem, we obtain that there exists $\theta \in (0, x)$ such that $\frac{a^x-1}{x} = a^\theta \ln a$. So for any $x \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{x}\left[(a^x - a^\theta)\ln a\right] > 0.$$

Hence $g(x)$ is an strictly increasing function on $[0, 1]$. By the assumption $\inf_{n\geq 1} \alpha_n = 0$ and L'Hospital principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E[|X_n| \wedge a] &= \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_n}(a^{\alpha_n} - 1) + 1\right) \\ &= \lim_{a\to\infty} \lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}(a^{\alpha} - 1) + 1\right) \\ &= \lim_{a\to\infty} (\ln a + 1) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI.

3 The main results

At first, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence of random variables to be W-UNI.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables. Then $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is M-UNI if and only if for all $M > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that for every sequence of events $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$,*

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : A_n) \geq M.$$

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is M-UNI, i.e. $\lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) = \infty$. Then for all $M > 0$, there exsits $a_0 > M$ such that $\inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a_0) > 4M$. Hence, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a_0) + a_0 P(|X_n| > a_0) = E(|X_n| \wedge a_0) > 4M,$$

which implies either $E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a_0) > 2M$ or $a_0 P(|X_n| > a_0) > 2M$. Let $\alpha = 1 - \frac{M}{a_0}$, and a sequence of events $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfying $\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) \geq \alpha$.

When $E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a_0) > 2M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_n| : A_n) &\geq E(|X_n| : [|X_n| \leq a_0] \cap A_n) \\ &= E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a_0) - E(|X_n| : [|X_n| \leq a_0] \cap A_n^c) \\ &> 2M - a_0 P(A_n^c) \\ &= 2M + a_0(P(A_n) - 1) \\ &\geq 2M + a_0(\alpha - 1) = M. \end{aligned}$$

And when $a_0 P(|X_n| > a_0) > 2M$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_n| : A_n) &\geq E(|X_n| : [|X_n| > a_0] \cap A_n) \\ &\geq a_0 P([|X_n| > a_0] \cap A_n) \\ &= a_0[P(|X_n| > a_0) - P([|X_n| > a_0] \cap A_n^c)] \\ &\geq a_0 P(|X_n| > a_0) - a_0 P(A_n^c) \\ &= a_0 P(|X_n| > a_0) + a_0(P(A_n) - 1) \\ &> 2M + a_0(\alpha - 1) = M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n|; A_n) \geq M$.

(Sufficiency) Suppose that for all $M > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that for every sequence of events $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$,

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : A_n) \geq M. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $\inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a)$ is an increasing function of a. Then we have

$$\beta := \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a) \geq 0.$$

Now we have two cases:

(1) $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$. In this case, $\beta > 0$. Then by the definition of β, there exists a_0 such that for all $a \geq a_0$, $\inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a) \geq \alpha$. Hence by (3.1), we have

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) \geq \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : |X_n| \leq a) \geq M.$$

(2) $\alpha \in [\beta, \infty) \cap (0,1)$ (in this case β may be zero). Since $\inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a)$ is an increasing function of a, we have for all $a > 0$,

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a) \leq \beta \leq \alpha.$$

Let $a > \frac{M}{1-\alpha}$. Decompose the positive integers set $\mathbb{N}$ into two subsets $\mathbb{N}_1$ and $\mathbb{N}_2$ such that $\mathbb{N}_1 \cap \mathbb{N}_2 = \emptyset$ and for all $n_k \in \mathbb{N}_1$,$P(|X_{n_k}| \leq a) \leq \alpha$ and for all $m_j \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $P(|X_{m_j}| \leq a) > \alpha$.

(i) For any $n_k \in \mathbb{N}_1$, we have $P(|X_{n_k}| > a) \geq 1 - \alpha$, and thus

$$E(|X_{n_k}| \wedge a) \geq aP(|X_{n_k}| > a) > \tfrac{M}{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha) = M.$$

(ii) For any $m_j \in \mathbb{N}_2$, let $A_{m_j} = \{|X_{m_j}| \leq a\}$. Then $P(A_{m_j}) > \alpha$. Set $A_{n_k} = A_{m_1}$ for all $n_k \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Then

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) = \inf_{j\geq 1} P(A_{m_j}) \geq \alpha,$$

which together with the assumption (3.1) implies that

$$\inf_{j\geq 1} E(|X_{m_j}| : A_{m_j}) \geq \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : A_n) \geq M.$$

It follows that

$$E(|X_{m_j}| \wedge a) \geq E(|X_{m_j}| : |X_{m_j}| \leq a) \geq M.$$

Hence, by (i) and (ii) we know that when $a > \frac{M}{1-\alpha}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $E(|X_n| \wedge a) \geq M$, and thus $\inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) \geq M$.

Hence, by (1) and (2), we obtain that when $a > \frac{M}{1-\alpha} \vee a_0$, it holds that

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) \geq M,$$

which means that $\lim\limits_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| \wedge a) = \infty$, i.e. $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is M-UNI. □

Remark 3.1 *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random varialbes. Define the following three conditions:*

(a) $\beta := \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} P(|X_n| \leq a) > 0$.

(b) For all $M > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$ such that for every sequence of events $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$,

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : A_n) \geq M.$$

(c) For all $M > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for every sequence of events $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$,

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} P(A_n) \geq \alpha \Rightarrow \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|X_n| : A_n) \geq M.$$

Then [2, Theorem 3.1] can be expressed by

$$(a)+(b)\Rightarrow\{X_n, n\geq 1\} \text{ is UNI } \Rightarrow (a)+(c),$$

and the above Theorem 3.1 can be expressed by

$$\{X_n, n\geq 1\} \text{ is W-UNI } \Leftrightarrow (c),$$

which shows that the condtion (a) is irrelevant to W-UNI.

Next, we give a characterization on W-UNI (see Theorem 3.2 below), which corresponds to [2, Theorem 3.2]. It's well known that for any random variable Y,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y|>n)\leq E|Y|\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|Y|>n).$$

It follows that

$$E|Y|=\infty \;\Leftrightarrow\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|Y|>n)=\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|Y|>n)=\infty. \tag{3.2}$$

In virture of (3.2), we give the following definition.

Definition 3.1 *A sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n\geq\}$ is said to be W*-uniformly nonintegrable (W*-UNI) if*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)=\infty$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup_{m\geq 1}\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)=\infty.$$

Remark 3.2 *By $\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)\leq \sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)\leq 1+\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)$, we get*

$$\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)\leq \inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)\leq 1+\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X_k|>n).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)=\infty \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)=\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 3.2 *M-UNI $\Longleftrightarrow$ W*-UNI, i.e. a sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n\geq 1\}$ is W-UNI if and only if*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq 1}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X_k|>n)=\infty.$$

Proof. "⇒" For any random varialbe X and any positive integer m, by Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(|X|>n) &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{m}\int_n^{n+1} P(|X|>x)dx\\ &= \int_0^{m+1} P(|X|>x)dx\\ &= \int_0^{m+1}\left(\int_\Omega I_{\{|X|>x\}}dP\right)dx\\ &= \int_\Omega\left(\int_0^{m+1} I_{\{|X|>x\}}dx\right)dP\\ &= E(|X|\wedge(m+1)).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that W-UNI ⇒ W*-UNI.

"⇐" For any random varialbe X and any positive integer m, by Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{m} P(|X|>n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{m}\int_n^{n+1} P(|X|>n)dx\\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m}\int_n^{n+1} P(|X|>x-1)dx\\ &= \int_1^{m+1} P(|X|>x-1)dx\\ &= \int_0^{m} P(|X|>x)dx\\ &= \int_\Omega\left(\int_0^{m} I_{\{|X|>x\}}dx\right)dP\\ &= E(|X|\wedge m).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.3), we know that when $\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq1}\sum_{n=0}^{m}P(|X_k|>n)=\infty$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\inf_{k\geq1}E(|X_k|\wedge m)=\infty$. Hence W*-UNI ⇒ W-UNI. □

Next, we give a characterization on W-UNI, which corresponds to [2, Theorem 3.3], and can be regarded as a W-UNI analogue of the celebrated de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability.

Theorem 3.3 *A sequence of random variables $\{X_m, m\geq1\}$ is W-UNI if and only if there exists a continuous function $\varphi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$, φ is strictly increasing, $\varphi(x)\to\infty$ as $x\to\infty$, $x^{-1}\varphi(x)$ is strictly decreasing to 0 as $0<x\uparrow\infty$, and such that $\{\varphi(|X_m|), m\geq1\}$ is W-UNI.*

Proof. The main idea comes from the proof of [2, Theorem 3.3]. For the reader's convenience, we spell out the details.

(Sufficiency) Suppose that there exists a function φ satisfying the advertised properties. Then, there exists $A > 0$ such that for all $x > A$, $\varphi(x) \le x$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty &= \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} E(\varphi(|X_m|) \wedge a) \\
&= \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} [E(\varphi(|X_m|) \wedge a : |X_m| \le A) + E(\varphi(|X_m|) \wedge a : |X_m| > A)] \\
&\le \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} [\varphi(A) + E(|X_m| \wedge a)] \\
&= \varphi(A) + \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} E(|X_m| \wedge a).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\{X_m, m \ge 1\}$ is W-UNI.

(Necessity) Assume that $\{X_m, m \ge 1\}$ is W-UNI. Firstly, we show that there exists a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k, k \ge 0\}$ such that $n_{k+1} > 2n_k$ for all $k \ge 0$ and for all $j \ge 1$,

$$\inf_{m\ge 1} \sum_{n=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} P(|X_m| > jn) > 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $n_0 = 0$. We can easily check that $\{X_m, m \ge 1\}$ is W-UNI if and only if $\{\frac{X_m}{j}, m \ge 1\}$ is W-UNI for all $j \ge 1$ (or for some $j \ge 1$). Then, by Theorem 3.2 and (3.3), it holds for all $j \ge 1$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(|X_m| > jn) = \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.5) with $j = 1$, there exists $n_1 > 2$ such that (3.4) holds with $j = 1$. Suppose $n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_k$ have been chosen for some $k \ge 1$ such that $n_j > 2n_{j-1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ and (3.4) holds for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. For $j = k+1$, by (3.5) and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{m\ge 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(|X_m| > (k+1)n) \\
= &\inf_{m\ge 1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{n_k} P(|X_m| > (k+1)n) + \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{N} P(|X_m| > (k+1)n) \right] \\
\le &\ n_k + \inf_{m\ge 1} \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{N} P(|X_m| > (k+1)n),
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \inf_{m\ge 1} \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{N} P(|X_m| > (k+1)n) = \infty.$$

Thus there exists $n_{k+1} > 2n_k$ such that (3.4) holds with $j = k+1$ thereby establishing the above assertion.

Next, define a function g on $[0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$g(x) = k + \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - n_k}(x - n_k),\ n_k \le x < n_{k+1},\ k \ge 0.$$

Then $g(0) = 0$ and g is continuous and strictly increasing with $g(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$. Hence, by (3.4), for all $k \geq 1, m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{n_k} P(|X_m| > ng(n)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{n=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} P(|X_m| > ng(n)) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{n=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} P(|X_m| > ng(n_j)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{n=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} P(|X_m| > nj) \geq k. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $h(x) = xg(x), x \geq 0$ and $\varphi = h^{-1}$. Then φ is a continuous strictly increasing function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$. Moreover,$\frac{\varphi(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{g(\varphi(x))}$ is strictly decreasing to 0 as $x \to \infty$. By the definitions of the functions h and φ, and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N\to\infty} \inf_{m\geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(\varphi(|X_m|) > n) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \inf_{m\geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} P(\varphi(|X_m|) > n) \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \inf_{m\geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} P(|X_m| > h(n)) \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \inf_{m\geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} P(|X_m| > ng(n)) \\
&\geq \lim_{k\to\infty} k = \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with Theorem 3.2 and (3.3) implies that $\{\varphi(|X_m|), m \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI. □

Hu and Rosalsky (2015) proved that every nonintegrable nonzero random variable always has slightly more nonintegrability available than that which is assumed. Specifically, they proved the following result which is an immediate corollary of both [2, Theorem 3.3] and the above Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4 *(Hu and Rosalsky (2015, Theorem 1.1)). If X is a random variable with $X \neq 0$ a.s. and $E|X| = \infty$, then there exists a continuous function g on $(0, \infty)$ with $0 < g(x) \uparrow \infty$ and $x^{-1}g(x) \downarrow 0$ as $0 < x \uparrow \infty$ such that $E(g(|X|)) = \infty$.*

4 Some remarks

Remark 4.1. In [2, Remark 5.3], the authors raised an open problem: whether a UNI sequence of random variables can converge in distribution to an integrable random variable? We can give a negative answer to this problem. In fact, we can show that *any W-UNI sequence of random variables cann't converge in distribution to an integrable random variable.*

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a W-UNI sequence of random variables, and $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to a random variable X. Then by the Skorohod representation theorem, there exist a sequence $\{Y, Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ of random variables such that for any $n \geq 1$, X_n and Y_n has the same distribution, X and Y have the same distribution, and $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ converges to Y a.s. By the definition of W-UNI, we know that $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI.

For any positive number a, by the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$E(|Y| \wedge a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E(|Y_n| \wedge a),$$

which together with the monotone convergence theorem and the definition of W-UNI, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E(|Y|) &= \lim_{a\to\infty} E(|Y| \wedge a) \\ &= \lim_{a\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} E(|Y_n| \wedge a) \\ &\geq \lim_{a\to\infty} \inf_{n\geq 1} E(|Y_n| \wedge a) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. Y is NI and thus X is NI.

Remark 4.2. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a W-UNI sequence of random varialbes. Then

(i) By Definition 1.2, we know that $\{|X_n|, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI, any subsequence of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI, and any subsequence of $\{|X_n|, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI;

(ii) By (i) and Remark 4.1, we know that no subsequence of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ can converges in distribution to a real number;

(iii) By (i) and Remark 4.1, we know that no subsequence of $\{|X_n|, n \geq 1\}$ can converges in distribution to a real number;

(iv) By (ii), (iii) and the relation that UNI $\Rightarrow$ W-UNI, we get the first part of [2, Remark 5.1].

Remark 4.3. In [2, Remark 5.2], the authors showed that if $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a UNI sequence of random variables, then no subsequence of $\{|X_n|, n \geq 1\}$ can approach ∞ in probability and, a fortiori, no subsequence of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ can approach ∞ in probability. Further, an example is given to show that a sequence of NI random variables can approach ∞ in probability. That example is as follows:

Let Y be a NI random variable with $Y > 0$ a.s. and let $Y_n = nY, n \geq 1$. Then $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NI random variable with $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} \infty$.

By Remark 1.2, we know that $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ is W-UNI, and thus W-UNI sequence of random variables can approach ∞ in probability.

Acknowledgements. We thank the support of NNSFC (Grant No. 11371191).

References

[1] Chandra T. K. 2015. De La Vallée Poussin's theorem, uniform integrability, tightnes and moments. Statis. Probab. Lett. 107, 136-141.

[2] Chandra T. K., Hu T.-C. and Rosalsky A., 2016. On uniform non-integrability for a sequence of random variables, Statis. Probab. Lett. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2016.04.015

[3] Chong, K. M., 1979. On a theorem concerning uniform integrability. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 25(39), 8-10.

[4] Chow, Y.S. and Teicher, H., 1997. Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales, 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.

[5] Chung, K.L., 1974. A Course in Probability Theory, 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York.

[6] Hu, T.-C. and Rosalsky, A., 2011. A note on the de La Vallée Poussin criterion for uniform integrability. Statis. Probab. Lett. 81, 169-174.

[7] Hu, T.-C. and Rosalsky, A., 2015. A note on random variables with an infinite absolute first moment. Statis. Probab. Lett. 97, 212-215.

[8] Klenke, A., 2014. Probability Theory: A Comprehensive Course, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, London.